



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,496	02/12/2004	Christopher Charles Andrews	327723	5259

42074 7590 03/20/2008
FAEGRE & BENSON, LLP
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC PATENT DOCK
2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER
90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3901

EXAMINER

LANG, AMY T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3731

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

03/20/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

e-OfficeActionBSc@faegre.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/777,496	Applicant(s) ANDREWS ET AL.	
	Examiner AMY T. LANG	Art Unit 3731	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Amy T. Lang. (3) Brian Oberst.
 (2) Catherine Spolar. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 5, 6, 8, and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Brenner (US 6,497,681 B1) and Gardeski (US 2003/0181935 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Applicant discussed proposed claim amendments to overcome the prior art of record. No agreement was reached regarding the amendments. Amendments to claim 9 overcome the 112 rejection and amendments to claim 8 overcome the claim objection. The objections to claim 5 and 6 will be withdrawn due to sufficient support in the specification. Applicant will mail additional amendments at which time Examiner will update the prior art search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Todd E. Manahan/
 SPE, Art Unit 3731

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required